





Characterization of OSD performance in a Ceph cluster

Julien Collet, Daniel van der Ster, Roberto Valverde Cameselle, Massimo Lamanna CERN IT-ST

Julien COLLET - CERN IT | Per3S'19 Talence | 25 January 2019



CERN



ALICE

LHCb

ATLAS

26.7 km particle accelerator



300 petabytes storage | 230 000 CPU cores







Ceph @ CERN 8 Production clusters: ~17PB

Ceph Block storage (RBD): - Biggest use-case (~8PB) - Annual growth of ~1PB

- Bulk storage use-cases

1111

Ceph RBD Performance is critical: - To enable new applications - To improve procurement decisions

Agenda

- Development of a benchmarking suite to understand performance variations between layers of Ceph
- Evaluation of a new all-flash hyperconverged cluster to tackle new CERN use-cases on top of Ceph
- Implementation of a tool to help operators understand workload behavior



Benchmarking ceph/rbd



Questions:

- How does device performance evolve through Ceph layers?
- Potential bottlenecks: CPU/IO utilizations? librbd?
- Client configuration tested:
 - SSD-only OSDs
 - HDD-only OSDs
 - Mixed-configuration: Filestore, Bluestore OSDs.



Overview:

- Raw disk baseline performance: dd/fio
- Ceph storage level performance: rados bench
- Block device performance: fio (librbd) and rbd bench
- Metrics: IOPS, Disk IO and CPU utilizations, latency
- Single-node cluster to avoid network latency impacts on performance



https://github.com/colletj/rbdperfscripts

RADOS-level performance

Starting point: raw fio results give 85.1 kIOPS (SSD) and 232 IOPS (HDD), what is RADOS performance ? (4k random sync writes)

SSD: bluestore ssd

HDD: bluestore ssd

MIX: bluestore data:hdd db:ssd

FS: filestore data:hdd journal:ssd

Mixed configurations: SSD not-stressed, HDD remaining the bottleneck with a 100% I/O util





RADOS bench performance: IOPS

RBD-level performance

Starting point: raw fio results give 85.1 kIOPS (SSD) and 232 IOPS (HDD), what is RBD performance ? (4k random sync writes)



- Mixed configurations roughly equivalent, unable to stress the SSD...
- As SSDs are never I/O bound, recommended to use partitioning (multiple OSDs per SSDs)





Client-side caching

Leveraging dm-cache

- dm-cache enables linux kernel's devicemapper to use faster devices (e.g. flash) to act as a cache for HDDs
- Slightly better performance at the RBD bench level compared to standard client configuration, but not a silver bullet either: *dm-writecache?*





Hyperconverged clusters



Hyperconverged OpenStack+RBD

- Cluster: 20 nodes
 - 16-core Xeon (SMT disabled)
 - 128GB of memory
 - 16x 960GB SSDs



- Configuration
 - Memory: 64GB for the VMs, 32GB for Ceph, rest for overheads
 - 14 OSDs per node

Plan

- Build it
- Internal perf tests
- Disaster tests
- Develop ops procedures
- Invite early adopters



Hyperconverged OpenStack+RBD

OSD performance

- Benchmarking an all-flash test node to bring Ceph/rbd to other CERN use-cases
- High IOPS + Low latency
- Outperforms by far client-side caching alternatives







Hyperconverged OpenStack+RBD

Cluster performance: 20-node all-flash system



- Up to 500k IOPS on best-case scenario (sequencial 4K read, replicated 3x)
- Peak bandwidth up to 10GBs w/1MB objects
- Erasure-coding for free? Decent performance on ec-enabled pools (4+2)
- In progress: run application-specific benchmarks to validate its use for new usecases: databases...

Assessing omap performance

Hyperconverged vs. Mixed configuration

- omap performance is critical to S3 bucket indexes
- Set-up:

Dedicated rados pool

1x object

Script executed from the same network switch

key-value pairs: average size of the key-value of our real workload

All-flash vs mixed-configuration setup

Are all-flash clusters worth it ?



Assessing omap performance

Hyperconverged vs. Mixed configuration



Monitoring ceph/rbd performance



Ceph/rbd top v1

Identifying busiest OSDs/RBD Images

- List all clients on the host
- Activate logging for the clients for a given time
- Extract read/write
- Sort/Filter by most active
- Generate a report/plot



Logs collected, parsing

logfile is /tmp/rbdtop/ceph-osd.[0-9]*.log

Ceph/rbd top v2

Integrating top as a Ceph feature (work-in-progress)

- Feature announced at Ceph Day Berlin on 13.11.2018
- Will help operators to identify "hot" clients/images
- Still work-in-progress





Ceph/rbd top v2

Integrating top as a Ceph feature

- Mgr module issuing requests to OSDs to collect perf metrics
- Python interface to add/remove requests and get query results
- Group by object prefix (rbd image name)



```
maha:~/ceph/ceph/build% ceph mgr module enable osd perf guery
maha:~/ceph/ceph/build% ceph osd perf query add client id
added query client id with id 0
maha:~/ceph/ceph/build% ceph osd perf query add rbd_image_id
added query rbd_image_id with id 1
maha:~/ceph/ceph/build% for i in 1 2 3; do rbd bench --io-type write --rbd-cache=false --io-s
bench type write io size 4096 io threads 16 bytes 409600 pattern random
 SEC
           0PS
               OPS/SEC BYTES/SEC
                        100 ops/sec: 499.99 bytes/sec: 2047978.70
elapsed:
            0 ops:
bench type write io_size 4096 io_threads 16 bytes 409600 pattern random
  SEC
           0PS
               OPS/SEC BYTES/SEC
                        100 ops/sec: 543.47 bytes/sec: 2226063.81
elapsed:
            0 ops:
bench type write io_size 4096 io_threads 16 bytes 409600 pattern random
 SEC
           OPS
                 OPS/SEC
                          BYTES/SEC
                        100 ops/sec: 595.23 bytes/sec: 2438069.87
elapsed:
            0 ops:
maha:~/ceph/ceph/build% ceph osd perf counters get 0
counters for query with id 0
                                       client id
               | write ops | read ops | write bytes | read bytes | write latency
                                                                                | read lat
  client.164136
                                24
                                        409600/107
                                                       366/24
                                                                  2618503617/107 | 11166778
                    107
  client.164140
                    107
                               24
                                        409600/107
                                                       366/24
                                                                  2833574010/107 | 14450420
                    107
                               24
                                        409600/107
                                                       366/24
  client.164159
                                                                  2357477064/107 | 11717881
maha:~/ceph/ceph/build% ceph osd perf counters get 1
counters for query with id 1
  pool id
           rbd image id | write ops | read ops | write bytes | read bytes | write latency
    3
          | 1e6157e263d9e
                             100
                                         0
                                                  409600/100
                                                                 0/0
                                                                           2548654136/100
    3
          l 1e64961688492
                             100
                                         0
                                                  409600/100
                                                                 0/0
                                                                           2742848291/100
     3
           1e6526e037e21
                             100
                                         0
                                                  409600/100
                                                                 0/0
                                                                           2289681719/100
```

Next steps...



Conclusions

- Hypervisor-side writeback caching is complex, still far in the future
- Small amounts of flash can greatly improve performance of hddonly ceph clusters (rbd, omap, ...)
- All-flash, hyperconverged clusters are the best solution for IOPS critical applications
- Some developments ("top") can enable operators to identify bottlenecks and tune the storage systems



Future work

Benchmarking and performance evaluation

- Continuous improvement of the benchmarking suite
- Evaluation of other emerging HW platforms (Intel Optane ?)
- Validation of upcoming all-flash architectures using real-life CERN use-cases (database applications, low-latency analysis...)

Monitoring of workload behavior

• Finalizing the implementation of the built-in ceph top tool



Future challenges for storage in HEP

- Run-2 (2015-18): ~50-80PB/year
- Run-3 (2020-23): ~150PB/year
- Run-4: ~600PB/year?!
- FCC...?





https://arxiv.org/abs/1712.06982

Thanks!



Merci!



home.cern

